UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,585	03/07/2006	Martin O. Leach	0380-P03873US00	2931
110 7590 02/17/2010 DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN			EXAMINER	
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			NGUYEN, HIEN NGOC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,585	LEACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HIEN NGUYEN	3768				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	arch 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-110</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-56 and 80-110</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-79</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/02/2008; 08/07/2006; 01/03/2006; 09/30/2005. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/551,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Objection

1. Claim 79 is objected to because claim 57 already claims the same method steps of reconstructing nuclear Magnetic Resonance images.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 57-64 and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong et al. (Rectification of distortion in MRI for stereotaxy (applicant submitted reference in IDS)) and in view of Haacke EM et al. (Magnetic Resonance Imaging (applicant submitted reference in IDS)).
- 4. Addressing claim 57, Dong discloses a method of acquiring and processing Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) data from Nuclear Magnetic Resonance signals generated by an object within a magnetic field having a predetermined spatial gradient, for use in reconstructing an image representing said object, the method comprising the steps of: acquiring a first set of first image data items using a first value of said predetermined spatial gradient for use in constructing a first image of said object (see abstract and page 184, i₁ is the first image constructed from first image data); acquiring

Application/Control Number: 10/551,585

Art Unit: 3768

a second set of second image data items using a second value of said predetermined spatial gradient which differs from said first value thereof for use in constructing a second image of said object (see page 184, especially after equation 6, i₂ is the second image constructed from second image data) and generating third image data items according to first image data items, second image data items and the ratio of said different first and second values of said predetermined spatial gradient (see page 185, especially top of the page to equation 11, rectify image is the third image data generating from first image data and second image data). However, Dong does not disclose wherein second image data items of said second set are acquired before acquisition of said first set is complete. Haacke discloses wherein second image data items of said second set are acquired before acquisition of said first set is complete (see page 803, interleaving scan section, because of interleaving scan the second image data is acquired before acquisition of first set is completed). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dong to perform the step of wherein second image data items of said second set are acquired before acquisition of said first set is complete as taught by Haacke because this would reduce artifacts and improve image quality.

Page 3

5. Addressing claims 58, 60-61 and 79 Dong discloses wherein said second image data items of said second set acquired before acquisition of said first set is complete are acquired from points in Fourier-Space which coincide with those points in Fourier-Space from which first image data items of said first set are acquired (see page 184, between

Application/Control Number: 10/551,585

Art Unit: 3768

equation 1 and 2, and the last paragragh before equation 7, identical image parameter means points in Fourier Space are the same in both first and second images); selected set of points in steps (a) and (c) each forms a respective line of points in Fourier-Space wherein a set of points selected in step (c) forms a line of points being substantially parallel to a line of points formed by the selected set of points employed in preceding steps (a) and (b) (see page 185, top of the page to equation 11); wherein the ratio of said different values of said predetermined spatial gradient is a constant value (see page 184, section between equation 6 and 7, reversal in the direction of the readout gradient means the different in gradient is constant and opposite in polarity) and a method of reconstructing nuclear Magnetic Resonance images (MRI) or other images using the method of Claim 57 (see rejection of claim 57).

Page 4

- 6. Addressing claims 62-64, Dong discloses generating the third image data according to the first image data items and second image data using equation in applicant claims 62-63 and different values of predetermined spatial gradient is substantially equal to -1 (see page 185, equation 10 and 11, take into account -1 equation 10 and 11 would look like applicant's equation).
- 7. Addressing claim 59, Haacke discloses acquiring said first set of first image data items and said second set of second image data items includes the steps of: (a) acquiring first image data items from a selected set of points in Fourier-Space (see Fig. 26.17 on page 804); and (b) acquiring second image data items from said selected set

Application/Control Number: 10/551,585

Art Unit: 3768

of points in Fourier-Space (see Fig. 26.17); and c) selecting a new set of points in Fourier-Space and repeating steps (a) and (b) in respect of said new selected set of points until acquisition of said first set is complete (see Fig. 26.17, this figure shows continuously acquire first data set and second data set at different set of points).

Page 5

- 8. Claims 65-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong et al. (Rectification of distortion in MRI for stereotaxy (applicant submitted reference in IDS)), in view of Haacke EM et al. (Magnetic Resonance Imaging (applicant submitted reference in IDS)) and further in view of Pelagotti (US 2003/0035583).
- 9. Addressing claims 65-71, Dong and Haacke fail to disclose defining boundary vector, segmenting the images using weight factor and average different. Pelagotti discloses boundary vector and segmenting the images using weight factor (see [0006], [0018-0019], [0032] and claim 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dong to defining boundary vector, segmenting the images using weight factor and average different as taught by Pelagotti because this process improves image quality and allow easier image analysis.

Examiner suggests amending the claims to include specific detail about the method steps of defining boundary vector, segmenting the images using weight factor and average different to differentiate from the current prior art. Also point out the criticality of these steps.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,585 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

10. Claims 72-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dong et al. (Rectification of distortion in MRI for stereotaxy (applicant submitted reference in IDS)), in view of Haacke EM et al. (Magnetic Resonance Imaging (applicant submitted reference in IDS)) and further in view of Murakawa (US 2001/0046321).

11. Addressing claims 72-78, Dong and Haacke fail to disclose compare image data and determine similar feature. Murakawa discloses compare image data and determine similar feature (see [0017] and claim 11, when images are compare user could divide pixels in the images into subset of ½ then the pixels in the subset are compared). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Dong to compare image data and determine similar feature as taught by Murakawa because an accurate image search can be performed by comparing image data and determine similar feature.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIEN NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-7031. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,585 Page 7

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3768 /Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768